

JAMES WINSTON GLEAVE  
California State Bar # 102977  
1901 First Avenue, Suite 310  
San Diego, CA 92101  
Email: jim@jwglaw.com  
Tel: (619) 238-0677  
Fax: (619) 238-4559

Attorney for Defendant IRMA FELIX-BENITEZ

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**(Honorable M. JAMES LORENZ, Judge)**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

IRMA FELIX-BENITEZ,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 08CR1724-001-L

**NOTICE OF MOTIONS AND  
MOTIONS TO:**

**1) COMPEL FURTHER  
DISCOVERY; AND**

**2) LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER  
MOTIONS**

**Status Hearing scheduled for  
Date: July 7, 2008  
Time: 2:00 p.m.**

**TO: KAREN P. HEWITT, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, AND STEWART YOUNG,  
ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that on Monday, July 7, 2008 at 2:00 p.m., or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard, the defendant, IRMA FELIX-BENITEZ, by and through her counsel, James Winston Gleave, will move this court to grant the above-entitled motions.

///

///

**MOTIONS**

The defendant, IRMA FELIX-BENITEZ, by and through his counsel, James Winston Gleave, and pursuant to Rules 6(e), 12 and 16 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and the Fourth, Fifth and Sixth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States, and all applicable local rules, hereby moves this court to:

- 1) compel further discovery; and
- 2) leave to file further motions.

These motions are based upon the attached memorandum, the files and records in the above-captioned case, and any and all evidence brought before this court before or during the hearing on these motions.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 13, 2008

/s/ James Winston Gleave  
James Winston Gleave,  
Attorney for IRMA FELIX-BENITEZ

---

C:\WP\2297\Discovery\Motion\FELIXNOTICE.wpd

JAMES WINSTON GLEAVE  
California State Bar # 102977  
1901 First Avenue, Suite 310  
San Diego, CA 92101  
Email: jim@jwglaw.com  
Tel: (619) 238-0677  
Fax: (619) 238-4559

Attorney for Defendant IRMA FELIX-BENITEZ

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**(Honorable M. JAMES LORENZ, Judge)**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

IRMA FELIX-BENITEZ,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 08CR1724-001-L

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS  
AND AUTHORITIES IN  
SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S  
MOTIONS**

**I.**

**STATEMENT OF FACTS**

IRMA FELIX-BENITEZ is presently charged in a two count indictment charging her with one count of Importation of Cocaine (Count One) and one count of Possession of Cocaine with Intent to Distribute.

To date the defendant has not received any discovery

IRMA FELIX-BENITEZ was arrested on about May 17, 2008 and presently remains in custody.

**II.**

**MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY AND PRESERVE EVIDENCE**

IRMA FELIX-BENITEZ moves for the production by the government of the following discovery and for the preservation of evidence. This request is not limited to those items that the prosecutor knows of, but rather includes all discovery listed below that is in the custody, control, care, or knowledge of any government agency. See generally Kyles v. Whitley, 514 U.S. 419 (1995); United States v. Bryan, 868 F.2d 1032 (9th Cir. 1989).

(1) The Defendant's Statements. The Government must disclose to the defendant all copies of any written or recorded statements made by the defendant; the substance of any statements made by the defendant which the Government intends to offer in evidence at trial; any response by the defendant to interrogation; the substance of any oral statements which the Government intends to introduce at trial and any written summaries of the defendant's oral statements contained in the handwritten notes of the Government agent; any response to any Miranda warnings which may have been given to the defendant; as well as any other statements by the defendant. Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(A) and (B). The Advisory Committee Notes and the 1991 amendments to Rule 16 make clear that the Government must reveal all the defendant's statements, whether oral or written, regardless of whether the government intends to make any use of those statements.

(2) Arrest Reports, Notes and Dispatch Tapes. The defense also specifically requests that all arrest reports, notes and dispatch or any other tapes that relate to the circumstances surrounding his arrest or any questioning, if such reports have not already been produced in their entirety, be turned over. This request includes, but is not limited to, any rough notes, records, reports, transcripts or other documents in which statements of the defendant or any other discoverable material is contained. Such material is discoverable under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(A) and (B) and Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). See also Loux v. United States, 389 F.2d 911 (9th Cir. 1968). Arrest reports, investigator's notes, memos from arresting officers, dispatch

1 tapes, sworn statements, and prosecution reports pertaining to the defendant are  
2 available under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(B), Fed. R. Crim. P. 26.2, and Fed. R. Crim. P.  
3 12(h). Preservation of rough notes is requested, whether or not the government deems  
4 them discoverable.

5 (3) Brady Material. Defendant requests all documents, statements, agents'  
6 reports, and tangible evidence favorable to the defendant on the issue of guilt and/or  
7 which affects the credibility of the government's case. Under Brady, impeachment as  
8 well as exculpatory evidence falls within the definition of evidence favorable to the  
9 accused. United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667 (1985); United States v. Agurs, 427 U.S.  
10 97 (1976).

11 (4) Any Information That May Result in a Lower Sentence Under The Guidelines.  
12 As discussed above, this information is discoverable under Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S.  
13 83 (1963). This request includes any cooperation or attempted cooperation by the  
14 defendant, as well as any information that could affect any base offense level or specific  
15 offense characteristic under Chapter Two of the Guidelines. Also included in this  
16 request is any information relevant to a Chapter Three adjustment, to a determination of  
17 the defendant's criminal history, or to any other application of the Guidelines.

18 (5) Any Information That May Result in a Lower Sentence Under 18 U.S.C. §  
19 3553. After United States v. Booker, 543 U.S. \_\_\_, 125 S. Ct. 738 (2005), the Guidelines  
20 are merely advisory and federal sentencing is governed by 18 U.S.C. § 3553, which  
21 requires a judge to consider "any information about the nature of the circumstances of  
22 the offense." 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1). This broad range of judicial discretion, combined  
23 with the mandate that "[n]o limitation shall be placed on the information concerning the  
24 background, character, and conduct of a person convicted of an offense which a court of  
25 the United States may receive and consider for the purpose of imposing an appropriate  
26 sentence," 18 U.S.C. § 3661, means that any information whatsoever may be "material  
27 ... to punishment," Brady, 373 U.S. at 87, whether or not the government deems it  
28 discoverable.

1 (6) The Defendant's Prior Record. Evidence of prior record is available under  
2 Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(D). Counsel specifically requests that the copy be complete  
3 and legible.

4 (7) Any Proposed 404(b) Evidence. Evidence of prior similar acts is discoverable  
5 under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E) and Fed. R. Evid. 404(b) and 609. In addition, under  
6 Fed. R. Evid. 404(b), "upon request of the accused, the prosecution . . . shall provide  
7 reasonable notice in advance of trial . . . of the general nature . . . ." of any evidence the  
8 government proposes to introduce under Fed. R. Evid. 404(b) at trial. The defendant  
9 requests that such notice be given three weeks before trial in order to give the defense  
10 time to adequately investigate and prepare for trial.

11 (8) Evidence Seized. Evidence seized as a result of any search, either  
12 warrantless or with a warrant, is discoverable under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E).

13 (9) Request for Preservation of Evidence. The defense specifically requests that  
14 all dispatch tapes or any other physical evidence that may be destroyed, lost, or  
15 otherwise put out of the possession, custody, or care of the government and which  
16 relate to the arrest or the events leading to the arrest in this case be preserved. This  
17 request includes, but is not limited to, the results of any fingerprint analysis, alleged  
18 narcotics, the defendant's personal effects, the vehicle, and any other evidence seized  
19 from the defendant, or any third party. It is requested that the government be ordered to  
20 question all the agencies and individuals involved in the prosecution and investigation of  
21 this case to determine if such evidence exists, and if it does exist, to inform those parties  
22 to preserve any such evidence.

23 (10) Tangible Objects. The defense requests, under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E)  
24 the opportunity to inspect and copy as well as test, if necessary, all other documents and  
25 tangible objects, including photographs, books, papers, documents, photographs of  
26 buildings or places or copies of portions thereof which are material to the defense or  
27 intended for use in the government's case-in-chief or were obtained from or belong to  
28 the defendant.

1 (11) Evidence of Bias or Motive to Lie. The defense requests any evidence that  
2 any prospective government witness is biased or prejudiced against the defendant, or  
3 has a motive to falsify or distort his or her testimony. Pennsylvania v. Ritchie, 480 U.S.  
4 39 (1987); United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988).

5 (12) Impeachment evidence. Defendant requests any evidence that any  
6 prospective government witness has engaged in any criminal act whether or not  
7 resulting in a conviction and whether any witness has made a statement favorable to the  
8 defendant. See Fed. R. Evid. 608, 609 and 613. Such evidence is discoverable under  
9 Brady v. Maryland. See United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988) (witness'  
10 prior record); Thomas v. United States, 343 F.2d 49 (9th Cir. 1965) (evidence that  
11 detracts from a witness' credibility).

12 (13) Evidence of Criminal Investigation of Any Government Witness. The  
13 defense requests any evidence that any prospective witness is under investigation by  
14 federal, state or local authorities for any criminal conduct. United States v. Chitty, 760  
15 F.2d 425 (2d Cir. 1985).

16 (14) Evidence Affecting Perception, Recollection, Ability to Communicate.  
17 Defendant requests any evidence, including any medical or psychiatric report or  
18 evaluation, tending to show that any prospective witness's ability to perceive, remember,  
19 communicate, or tell the truth is impaired; and any evidence that a witness has ever  
20 used narcotics or other controlled substance, or has ever been an alcoholic. United  
21 States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988); Chavis v. North Carolina, 637 F.2d 213,  
22 224 (4th Cir. 1980).

23 (15) Witness Addresses. The defense requests the name and last known  
24 address of each prospective government witness. See United States v. Napue, 834  
25 F.2d 1311 (7th Cir. 1987); United States v. Tucker, 716 F.2d 576 (9th Cir. 1983) (failure  
26 to interview government witnesses by counsel is ineffective); United States v. Cook, 608  
27 F.2d 1175, 1181 (9th Cir. 1979), overruled on other grounds by Luce v. United States,  
28 469 U.S. 38 (1984) (defense has equal right to talk to witnesses). The defendant also

1 requests the name and last known address of every witness to the crime or crimes  
2 charged (or any of the overt acts committed in furtherance thereof) who will not be called  
3 as a government witness. United States v. Cadet, 727 F.2d 1453 (9th Cir. 1984).

4 (16) Name of Witnesses Favorable to the Defendant. The defense requests the  
5 name of any witness who made any arguably favorable statement concerning the  
6 defendant or who could not identify him or who was unsure of his identity, or  
7 participation in the crime charged. Jackson v. Wainwright, 390 F.2d 288 (5th Cir. 1968);  
8 Chavis v. North Carolina, 637 F.2d 213, 223 (4th Cir. 1980); Jones v. Jago, 575 F.2d  
9 1164, 1168 (6th Cir. 1978); Hudson v. Blackburn, 601 F.2d 785 (5th Cir. 1979).

10 (17) Statements Relevant to the Defense. The defense requests disclosure of  
11 any statement that may be "relevant to any possible defense or contention" that he  
12 might assert. United States v. Bailleaux, 685 F.2d 1105 (9th Cir. 1982). This would  
13 include Grand Jury transcripts which are relevant to the defense motion to dismiss the  
14 indictment.

15 (18) Jencks Act Material. The defense requests all material to which defendant  
16 is entitled pursuant to the Jencks Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3500, reasonably in advance of trial,  
17 including dispatch tapes. A verbal acknowledgment that "rough" notes constitute an  
18 accurate account of the witness' interview is sufficient for the report or notes to qualify as  
19 a statement under § 3500(e)(1). Campbell v. United States, 373 U.S. 487, 490-92  
20 (1963).

21 (19) Giglio Information. Pursuant to Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972),  
22 the defendant requests all statements and/or promises, expressed or implied, made to  
23 any government witnesses, in exchange for their testimony in this case, and all other  
24 information which could arguably be used for the impeachment of any government  
25 witnesses.

26 (20) Reports of Scientific Tests or Examinations. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P.  
27 16(a)(1)(F), the defendant requests the reports of all tests and examinations conducted  
28 upon the evidence in this case. Including, but not limited to, any fingerprint testing done



1 upon any evidence seized in this case, that is within the possession, custody, or control  
2 of the government, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise of due diligence  
3 may become known, to the attorney for the government, and which are material to the  
4 preparation of the defense or are intended for use by the government as evidence in  
5 chief at the trial.

6 (21) Henthorn Material. The defendant requests that the prosecutor review the  
7 personnel files of the officers involved in his arrest, and those who will testify, and  
8 produce to him any exculpatory information at least two weeks prior to trial and one  
9 week prior to the motion hearing. This includes all citizen complaints and other related  
10 internal affairs documents involving any of the immigration officers or other law  
11 enforcement officers who were involved in the investigation, arrest and interrogation of  
12 defendant. See United States v. Henthorn, 931 F.2d 29 (9th Cir. 1991). In addition, he  
13 requests that if the government is uncertain whether certain information is to be turned  
14 over pursuant to this request, that it produce such information to the Court in advance of  
15 the trial and the motion hearing for an in camera inspection.

16 (22) Informants and Cooperating Witnesses. The defense requests disclosure of  
17 the names and addresses of any informants or cooperating witnesses used or to be  
18 used in this case. The government must disclose the informant's identity and location,  
19 as well as disclose the existence of any other percipient witness unknown or  
20 unknowable to the defense. Roviaro v. United States, 353 U.S. 53, 61-62 (1957). The  
21 defense also requests disclosure of any information indicating bias on the part of any  
22 informant or cooperating witness. Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972). Such  
23 information would include what, if any, inducements, favors, payments, or threats were  
24 made to the witness to secure cooperation with the authorities.

25 (23) Expert Witnesses. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(G), the defendant  
26 requests a written summary of the expert testimony that the government intends to use  
27 at trial, including a description of the witnesses' opinions, the bases and the reasons for  
28 those opinions, and the witnesses' qualifications.

1 (24) Residual Request. The defense intends by this discovery motion to invoke  
2 his rights to discovery to the fullest extent possible under the Federal Rules of Criminal  
3 Procedure and the Constitution and laws of the United States. This request specifically  
4 includes all subsections of Rule 16. Defendant requests that the government provide  
5 him and his attorney with the above requested material sufficiently in advance of trial.

6 **III.**

7 **LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER MOTIONS**

8 IRMA FELIX-BENITEZ requests that she be allowed time to file substantive  
9 motions as discovery is disclosed and reviewed.

10 **IV.**

11 **CONCLUSION**

12 For the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully requested that the court grant the  
13 above motions.

14 Respectfully submitted,

15  
16  
17 Dated: June 13, 2008

/s/ James Winston Gleave  
James Winston Gleave,  
Attorney for JACOB TELLAECHÉ

18  
19  
20  
21 \_\_\_\_\_  
C:\WP\2297\DiscoveryMotionFelix.wpd  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

JAMES WINSTON GLEAVE  
California State Bar # 102977  
1901 First Avenue, Suite 310  
San Diego, CA 92101  
Email: jim@jwglaw.com  
Tel: (619) 238-0677  
Fax: (619) 238-4559

Attorney for Defendant IRMA FELIX-BENITEZ

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**(Honorable M. JAMES LORENZ, Judge)**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

IRMA FELIX-BENITEZ,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 08CR1724-001-L

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Counsel for Defendant certifies that the foregoing pleading is true and accurate to the best of his information and belief, and that a copy of the foregoing document has been served on all parties via CM/ECF this day, except: None

Dated: June 13, 2008

/s/James Winston Gleave

James Winston Gleave  
Attorney for Defendant,  
JACOB TELLAECHÉ